

UC Davis Police Accountability Board Summary of the 2023-2024 Annual Report

The [Police Accountability Board \(PAB\)](#) is a civilian oversight board comprised of diverse university representatives that was established in 2014 to promote accountability, trust and communication between the University of California, Davis (UC Davis) community and the UC Davis Police Department (UCDPD). Two functions are central to the PAB's work. First, the PAB independently reviews investigation reports and makes recommendations, including factual findings, to the Chief of Police following investigations of complaints from the university community or general public (also referred to as civilian complaints). Second, both over the course of complaint review and in proactive efforts to evaluate UCDPD culture department-wide, the PAB reviews UCDPD policies, procedures, practices and trainings and makes recommendations when the PAB identifies possible improvements. The PAB solicits public input during open meetings. The PAB is committed to a fair and unbiased approach throughout its work.

On November 6, 2024, the PAB issued its 2023-2024 annual report detailing summary information and statistical data regarding the number of complaints filed, the type of complaints filed, analysis of trends and patterns, the ultimate disposition of the complaints (i.e., sustained, not sustained, exonerated or unfounded) and the number of complaints in which the recommendations of the PAB were either accepted, rejected or modified by the Chief of Police. The annual report also includes detailed summaries of the PAB's recommendations, questions and comments to the Chief, along with the Chief's responses. The PAB annual report is prepared by the Office of Campus and Community Relations, a unit in [Diversity, Equity and Inclusion](#). The report is reviewed and approved by the PAB administrative advisory group, board representatives, UCDPD Chief and UC Davis Chancellor prior to publication.

A complete summary of 2023-2024 inquiries received by the PAB, cases reviewed and PAB findings can be found in the table at the end of this report¹ and in the [PAB case database](#). Between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024, the PAB received 24 inquiries. Consistent with the PAB's procedures, the PAB closed 23 of those inquiries in 2023-2024. As of June 30, 2024, one case continues to be under investigation and pending PAB review.

Of the 24 inquiries received in 2023-2024, two cases were investigated or are under investigation. The remaining 22 inquiries did not proceed through investigation because:

- The concerns did not allege UCDPD sworn officer misconduct or policy violation (15 cases). Inquiries pertaining to issues outside the PAB's purview are dismissed, referred to the appropriate entity and, when possible, the reporting party is notified.

¹ The table also includes information on the complaint filed during the PAB's 2022-2023 reporting period that was closed during 2023-2024.

- The PAB received insufficient information from the reporting party to determine whether to charge an investigation (five cases).
- In response to an inquiry related to notification of an on-campus incident via the Clery timely warning, the PAB communicated with the reporting party that no Clery timely warning was issued because the UCDPD determined that the subject in that matter was known to the victim, and that there was not an ongoing threat to the campus community at large (one case).
- In response to an inquiry related to the process for requesting police reports from the UCDPD, the UC Davis Office of Compliance and Policy conducted a review of the UCDPD police report submission and printing process, and drafted a process improvement report that was submitted to the PAB and to the UCDPD (one case).

Also in 2023-2024, the PAB completed its investigation and review of one complaint submitted in 2022-2023.

2023-2024 Trends

- Allegations: The two inquiries received in 2023-2024 that proceeded through the process of investigation and review by the PAB involved the following allegations:
 - Reckless driving
 - Display of a firearm
 - Negligent discharge of a firearm.

PAB cases often involve multiple allegations.

- Inquiry location: Of the 24 total inquiries received in 2023-2024, 18 (75.00%) were filed to the Davis campus and three (12.50%) were filed to the Sacramento Health campus. Three inquiries (12.50%) did not provide information on the filing location.
- Demographics: Demographics are voluntarily provided by a reporting party and are not known to the PAB at any point during case review unless they are relevant to the allegations (e.g., in a discrimination case). Demographic information, as well as all other questions asked on the complaint form, are voluntary. The demographic information reported in this PAB annual report and the [PAB case database](#) reflect the information self-identified by reporting parties.
 - Campus affiliation: Among the 24 inquiries received in 2023-2024, 10 reporting parties (41.67%) self-identified their campus affiliation: four reporting parties (16.67%) self-identified as UC Davis staff, three reporting parties (12.50%) self-identified as community members, two reporting parties (8.33%) self-identified as UC Davis students and one reporting party (4.17%) self-identified as UC Davis faculty. Campus affiliation was not provided by the reporting party in 14 inquiries (58.33%).

- Age: Among the 24 inquiries received in 2023-2024, three reporting parties (12.50%) self-identified their age: one reporting party (4.17%) self-identified their age as 52, one reporting party (4.17%) self-identified their age as 59 and one reporting party (4.17%) self-identified their age as 64. The reporting party's age was not provided in 21 inquiries (87.50%).
- Gender: Among the 24 inquiries received in 2023-2024, three reporting parties (12.50%) self-identified their gender: two reporting parties (8.33%) self-identified as male and one reporting party (4.17%) self-identified as female. The reporting party's gender was not provided in 21 inquiries (87.50%).
- Race/ethnicity: Among the 24 inquiries received in 2023-2024, two reporting parties (8.33%) self-identified their race/ethnicity: one reporting party (4.17%) self-identified as Fiji Indian and one reporting party (4.17%) self-identified as White. The reporting party's race/ethnicity was not provided in 22 inquiries (91.67%).

Police Chief's Response to PAB Findings

From July 1, 2023 to June 30, 2024, the Chief of Police considered two cases—one that was submitted in 2023-2024 and one that was submitted in 2022-2023—in which the PAB recommended findings or made additional suggestions. The Chief agreed with the PAB's findings on all allegations made in these complaints. The Chief's response is summarized in the table at the end of this report and in the [PAB case database](#).

Additional PAB Recommendations, Questions and Comments to Police Chief

The PAB, after submitting its recommendations, regularly engages the Chief of Police in direct dialogue regarding case review findings and policy or training recommendations submitted by the PAB, in addition to questions and comments from PAB representatives and their communities. In reporting the following detailed summaries of the PAB's recommendations along with its questions and comments to the Chief, the PAB aims to increase the transparency of its work and to provide timely follow-up on issues important to the UC Davis and broader communities.

1. July 19, 2023: UCDPD Chief Joseph Farrow shared information with the PAB on the UCDPD's military equipment use policy and report. The PAB asked questions and provided feedback on the information presented to inform the public virtual town hall hosted by the PAB on August 16, 2023.
2. October 18, 2023: At his quarterly update with the PAB, the Chief of Police provided updates and engaged the board representatives and staff in discussion around the following topics:
 - a. Development of police accountability boards across the University of California system: Chief Farrow discussed the establishment of police accountability boards across UC campuses. He shared that each campus is

at a different stage in their development of a PAB, and that UC Davis is collaborating with sister campuses as their PABs are formed.

- b. Clery Notices: Chief Farrow discussed the series of Clery Act notices that were shared with campus. The cases are being investigated by the UCDPD.
- c. UCDPD canine update: Chief Farrow shared that the UCDPD has a new companionship dog for non-emergencies. She will accompany [UCDPD CORE \(Community Outreach and Engagement\) officers](#).

In addition to its findings, the PAB submitted the following recommendations and questions to the Chief of Police in response to a case reviewed by the board (PAB Case #23-124). At the Chief's quarterly update with the PAB, he provided the following responses:

- d. PAB recommendation: The PAB asked the Police Chief for feedback on the practice of recording statements when the UCDPD conducts investigations.

Chief's response: Chief Farrow referred to [UCDPD Policy 444, Body Worn Audio/Video Systems](#), which states that recorders (including body-worn cameras) should be activated in any of the following situations:

- i. All enforcement and investigative contacts including stops and field interview (FI) situations
- ii. Traffic stops including, but not limited to, traffic violations, stranded motorist assistance and all crime interdiction stops
- iii. Self-initiated activity in which a member would normally notify the Communications Center
- iv. Any other contact that becomes adversarial after the initial contact in a situation that would not otherwise require recording.

The Chief identified that deferring to officer judgment in circumstances that do not fall within UCDPD Policy 444 allows appropriate flexibility given the diversity of other interactions officers may have.

- e. PAB recommendation: The PAB asked about the closing letters sent to complainants at the conclusion of formal PAB investigations.

Chief's response: The Chief shared no objections to the PAB viewing redacted closing letters.

- 3. February 21, 2024: At his quarterly update with the PAB, the Chief of Police provided updates and engaged the board representatives and staff in discussion around the following topics:

- a. [California Senate Bill 960](#) update: This legislation allows anyone legally authorized to work in the United States to become a peace officer in the state of California, regardless of citizenship status. Chief Farrow discussed the legislative process, the guidelines and procedures developed and impact on the UCDPD since the law went into effect in January 2023.
- b. UCDPD comfort canines: Chief Farrow provided information on the two comfort canines who are part of the UCDPD. He shared that the UCDPD is the first agency in the country to respond to certain calls for service with comfort canines, and that the program has been successful.
- c. UCDPD staffing update: Chief Farrow shared that all vacancies at the UCDPD had been filled. He reflected on the diversity of UCDPD employees, and noted that the UCDPD has voluntarily signed onto the [30 by 30 initiative](#), with the goal to increase the representation of women at the UCDPD to 30% by 2030.
- d. Discussion of questions raised at the February 21, 2024 PAB quarterly public meeting: The Chief of Police heard and provided answers to questions asked during the PAB's recent public meeting. The Chief's responses were shared by the PAB via email to members of the public who attended the PAB public meeting.

- i. UCDPD presence at PAB public meetings

Chief Farrow noted that UCDPD staff usually do not participate in PAB public meetings. There have been occasions when UCDPD staff have been invited to participate in a portion of PAB public meetings to share information and answer questions during times when there might be public interest in direct dialogue with police leadership.

- ii. Can the UCDPD refuse to provide evidence or records to the PAB during complaint review?

Chief Farrow shared instances in which UCDPD policy does not require evidence or records to be shared, for example, cases that have not been investigated by the PAB or confidential information as specified by California law. If there were questions about availability of evidence or records, the PAB can submit an inquiry to the UCDPD and the UCDPD will respond.

- iii. Processes for disclosing UCDPD military equipment use annual report and policy

Chief Farrow shared that the August 16, 2023 virtual town hall hosted by the PAB on the UCDPD's military equipment did not involve approval by the PAB of the UCDPD's equipment requests. The town hall was a public meeting required by [California Assembly Bill 481](#) wherein the UCDPD disclosed information to the community about their military equipment use policy and annual report and responded to public comment and questions.

- iv. Who writes policy for the UCDPD? How is the PAB involved in UCDPD policy?

Chief Farrow shared that relevant law determines police policy, and the PAB provides recommendations on a department level to the UCDPD.

4. May 15, 2024: At his quarterly update with the PAB, the Chief of Police provided updates and engaged the board representatives on the following topics:
 - a. Encampments across University of California campuses: Chief Farrow shared general information on encampments at other UC campuses, and detailed the roles of the UCDPD and other campus units with respect to the PULP (Popular University for the Liberation of Palestine) encampment at UC Davis. Chief Farrow answered questions from the PAB and shared information regarding law enforcement mutual aid, de-escalation protocol and the lead role of the Student Expression Response Team/UC Davis Student Affairs and protocol for rescheduling or relocating events that were impacted by the encampment.
 - b. Chief Farrow shared information about a recent suicide that took place on campus.
5. August 21, 2024²: In addition to its findings, the PAB submitted the following recommendations and questions to the Chief of Police in response to a case reviewed by the board (PAB Case #24-143):
 - a. PAB recommendation: The PAB noted it did not appear the supervisor of the responding officer named in the complaint understood the case's relevant traffic policy/law.
 - b. PAB recommendation: The PAB recommended refresher training on the appropriate rules of engagement in emergency and non-emergency call situations, underscoring the importance of the safety of people over property.

² While this PAB meeting occurred outside the 2023-2024 reporting period, the discussion is included in this annual report because it pertains to a case reviewed by the PAB in 2023-2024.

- c. PAB recommendation: The PAB suggested training regarding how to interface effectively and with a high level of accuracy, courtesy and sensitivity with the public who calls into the Police Department.

UCDPD Captain Mark Brunet, as the Police Chief's designee, provided the following responses:

Chief's response: Chief Farrow concurred with all recommendations. The PAB was updated that the UCDPD in response to the PAB's recommendations provided trainings on relevant traffic policy/law and on handling inquiries made to the UCDPD.

In conversation with the PAB, Capt. Brunet noted that the UCDPD is working to reinforce safe driving, including in officers' annual driving training and in the department's annual policy review. He emphasized the importance of responding professionally when someone calls out a mistake made by Police Department personnel. Capt. Brunet noted that an individual can call the Police Department to discuss an incident with a sergeant. Capt. Brunet also emphasized the importance of working towards a department culture where mistakes can be seen as learning opportunities.

Public Comment Highlights

Each quarter of the academic year, the board invites public comment and questions at a public meeting. Summaries of the PAB's meetings are available online on the [PAB meeting minutes page](#). PAB public meetings include introductions to PAB representatives and staff in attendance and a brief presentation on the PAB's history, charge, board membership and administrative structure and complaint and investigation processes. Questions and comments from members of the public in attendance are welcome throughout the meeting.

Topics, comments and questions brought to the PAB during public meetings in 2023-2024 included:

- What is the timeline for posting summary minutes of PAB meetings?
- What is the PAB's process for responding to questions and inquiries?
- UCDPD presence at PAB public meetings
- Can the UCDPD refuse to provide evidence or records to the PAB during complaint review?
- Processes for disclosing UCDPD military equipment use annual report and policy
- Who writes policy for the UCDPD? How is the PAB involved in UCDPD policy?
- Process for submitting inquiries to the PAB
- PAB meeting procedures

- How does the PAB promote public meetings?
- How does the PAB evaluate trends in complaints and/or cases?

PAB Training, Continuing Education and Professional Development

Throughout their service on the board, PAB representatives receive ongoing training and professional development regarding the civilian oversight field, police procedures, relevant legal issues, impartiality, the confidential nature of police misconduct investigations and discipline and current campus and community safety efforts.

Continuing education, training and professional development opportunities for the PAB are organized by the Director of Campus Climate and Inclusion Initiatives in the Office of Campus and Community Relations.

All PAB representatives are required to attend three orientation sessions upon joining the board:

- PAB members receive information from the Director of Campus Climate and Inclusion Initiatives in the Office of Campus and Community Relations on the PAB's history, charge, activities, board composition and administrative structure, data and public reporting and current campus and community safety initiatives; this training also provides a primer to civilian oversight.
- PAB external counsel reviews the PAB's Bylaws, Procedures, Code of Ethics and Confidentiality Agreement.
- A representative from the UCDPD presents on Police Department policies, procedures and practices, including search and seizure and use of force.

The PAB invites speakers to present during regularly scheduled board meetings on local programs and initiatives relevant to civilian oversight and campus-community safety at UC Davis. In 2023-2024, the PAB received training via the following presentations during board meetings:

- UCDPD military equipment use policy and annual report, Joseph Farrow, UC Davis Police Department (July 19, 2023)
- Yolo County diversion programs, Jonathan Raven, Yolo County District Attorney's Office, Joseph Gocke, Yolo County Public Defender's Office and Yolo County diversion program participants (September 20, 2023)
- Report on UCDPD online reporting system, Adam Jimenez, UC Davis Office of Compliance and Policy (February 21, 2024)
- Discussion on student and community safety with Tim Jeffries, UC Davis Student Affairs (April 17, 2024)
- University of California police use of force policy, Wendy Lilliedoll, UC Davis Office of Compliance and Policy (May 15, 2024)

- Discussion on recent campus protests and demonstrations with Pablo Reguerin, UC Davis Student Affairs (June 26, 2024).

The PAB holds an institutional membership with the [National Association for Civilian Oversight of Law Enforcement \(NACOLE\)](#). NACOLE offers trainings, currently including [webinars](#) and an [annual conference](#), that are made available to PAB representatives and staff as part of their continuing education and professional development. In 2023-2024, PAB representatives and staff who volunteered to participate attended the following webinars organized by NACOLE and afterwards briefed the board:

- The Evolution of Use of Force (July 13, 2023)
- Digital Video Evidence: A Brief Introduction (September 28, 2023)
- Group Stages and Dynamics: What to Expect When Working on Boards and Commissions (October 16, 2023)
- Social Media and the World of Online Investigation (November 6, 2023)
- Firearms 101 (February 22, 2024)
- Preventing Police Misconduct: The Value of Background Checks (March 7, 2024)
- Constitutional Police-Community Encounters (June 27, 2024).

In addition, a delegation of PAB representatives and staff attended the November 2023 NACOLE annual conference in Chicago, Illinois and afterwards briefed the board.

Also in November 2023, a delegation of PAB representatives and staff attended the University of California community safety conference in Costa Mesa, California and afterwards briefed the board. PAB representatives and staff presented during the following conference sessions:

- Complaint Investigations (November 8, 2023)
- PAB Oversight Panel (November 9, 2023)

PAB Outreach and Campus Service

Beginning in 2023, the PAB has engaged the UCDPD around their military equipment use policy and annual report. This includes a presentation from and discussion with the UCDPD at a closed board meeting, and a virtual public town hall hosted by the PAB where UCDPD representatives present on their military equipment and invite public comment, in compliance with [California Assembly Bill 481](#). On August 16, 2023, the PAB hosted a town hall to invite public input on the UCDPD's annual report on military equipment. This town hall took place virtually via Zoom. Representatives from the UCDPD were in attendance to share information about AB 481 and the UCDPD's military equipment use policy and annual report, and they answered questions from members of

the public in attendance. More information on AB 481, the UCDPD's military equipment use policy and annual report can be found on the [UCDPD military equipment use policy page](#). Minutes from the August 16, 2023 town hall can be found on the [PAB meeting minutes page](#).

PAB staff and representatives provide outreach presentations and trainings to UC Davis campus groups and leaders, as well as external groups interested in civilian oversight of university police, including other University of California campuses.

PAB staff and representatives are invited by the UCDPD to participate in hiring panels during the recruitment of both sworn and non-sworn personnel. The PAB provides a community perspective and expertise in civilian oversight during UCDPD recruitments. In 2023-2024, as the UCDPD continued to recruit across its [tiered responses at UC Davis](#), PAB staff participated in interview panels for Community Safety Specialists.

Additional Information at pab.ucdavis.edu

The PAB website contains the PAB's [Bylaws](#) and [Procedures](#), [members](#), [meeting dates](#), [meeting minutes](#), [case database](#) and [annual reports](#), as well as information on [filing an inquiry](#) and [the complaint review and investigation processes](#).

Police Accountability Board Inquiries, July 1, 2023 – June 30, 2024						
Case Number, Date Filed, Location	Filing Method	Demographic Information from Reporting Party [*]	Allegations	Case Status	Outcome ^{3,4}	Police Chief's Response to PAB Findings
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 23-124⁵ • 4/17/23 & 4/26/23⁶ • UC Davis 	PAB online complaint form & email to pab@ucdavis.edu	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Campus affiliation: Not provided • Age: 31 • Gender: Female • Race/ethnicity: Biracial, Filipino/White 	Inadequate or improper investigation	Closed	Formal investigation: Investigation completed 9/8/23, PAB review 9/20/23 PAB's findings ⁷ : 1. Inadequate or improper investigation count 1: Exonerated 2. Inadequate or improper investigation count 2: Not sustained 3. Inadequate or improper investigation count 3: Not sustained	All findings accepted
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 23-129 • 8/17/23 • UC Davis 	Email to pab@ucdavis.edu	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Campus affiliation: Not provided • Age: Not provided • Gender: Not provided • Race/ethnicity: Not provided 	Inquiry related to police staffing that did not specify the UCDPD	Closed	Dismissed: Concerns did not allege UCDPD sworn officer misconduct or policy violation. Generalized concern about police department staffing nationwide shared with PAB for their consideration.	N/A
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 23-130 • 9/22/23 • UC Davis 	Email to pab@ucdavis.edu	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Campus affiliation: Not provided • Age: Not provided • Gender: Not provided • Race/ethnicity: Not provided 	Inadequate or improper investigation	Closed	Dismissed: Concerns did not allege UCDPD sworn officer misconduct or policy violation. Allegations also did not establish a basis to refer to another campus unit or outside agency.	N/A

^{*} Demographics of all reporting parties were provided voluntarily and were not known to the PAB at any point during case review unless they were relevant to the allegations (e.g., in a discrimination case).

³ Per its Procedures, the PAB shares all inquiries it receives with the UC Davis Police Department. This includes inquiries that are dismissed for any of the following reasons: the reporting party did not allege UCDPD misconduct or policy violation, the reporting party declines investigation, insufficient information, or lack of jurisdiction. PAB Procedures state: "Any complaint received by the UCDPD will be shared with the Office of Compliance for review and processing within two (2) business days. Any complaint received by the Office of Compliance will be shared with the Chief of Police, also within two (2) business days."

⁴ In addition to its recommendations with respect to the investigator's findings, the PAB may also recommend a wide spectrum of actions to the Chief of Police, including, for example, modifying policies or recommending training. A complete record of the PAB's recommendations is included in the full text of the PAB annual report and in the [PAB case database](#).

⁵ This complaint was submitted during the PAB's 2022-2023 reporting period. The PAB investigated and completed its review of the case during 2023-2024.

⁶ In an April 26, 2023 email to pab@ucdavis.edu, the concerned party reported that they submitted a complaint to the PAB online complaint portal on April 17, 2023. PAB staff learned that the online complaint portal was down and addressed the outage. The concerned party resubmitted their complaint via email to pab@ucdavis.edu.

⁷ In addition to its findings, the PAB issued additional recommendations, which along with the Chief's responses are included in the full text of the PAB annual report and in the [PAB case database](#).

Police Accountability Board Inquiries, July 1, 2023 – June 30, 2024						
Case Number, Date Filed, Location	Filing Method	Demographic Information from Reporting Party [*]	Allegations	Case Status	Outcome ^{8,9}	Police Chief's Response to PAB Findings
• 23-131 • 10/21/23 • UC Davis	Email to pab@ucdavis.edu	• Campus affiliation: Student • Age: Not provided • Gender: Not provided • Race/ethnicity: Not provided	Complaint related to Safe Ride	Closed	Insufficient information: The PAB contacted the reporting party and never received a response	N/A
• 23-133 • 11/4/23 • UC Davis	Email to pab@ucdavis.edu	• Campus affiliation: Not provided • Age: Not provided • Gender: Not provided • Race/ethnicity: Not provided	Complaint related to process for requesting police report from UCDPD	Closed	Office of Compliance and Police conducted a review of the UCDPD police report submission and printing process, and drafted a process improvement report that was submitted to the PAB and to UCDPD	N/A
• 23-134 • 9/27/22 • UC Davis	Email to pab@ucdavis.edu	• Campus affiliation: Faculty • Age: Not provided • Gender: Not provided • Race/ethnicity: Not provided	Inquiry related to altercation on campus	Closed	Dismissed: Concerns did not allege UCDPD sworn officer misconduct or policy violation. The PAB responded to the reporting party with information from UCDPD about the reported incident.	N/A
• 23-135 • 11/30/23 • UC Davis Health	PAB online complaint form	• Campus affiliation: Not provided • Age: 52 • Gender: Male • Race/ethnicity: White	Reckless driving by UCDPD vehicle	Closed	Insufficient information: The PAB contacted the concerned party and never received a response	N/A

^{*} Demographics of all reporting parties were provided voluntarily and were not known to the PAB at any point during case review unless they were relevant to the allegations (e.g., in a discrimination case).

⁸ Per its Procedures, the PAB shares all inquiries it receives with the UC Davis Police Department. This includes inquiries that are dismissed for any of the following reasons: the reporting party did not allege UCDPD misconduct or policy violation, the reporting party declines investigation, insufficient information, or lack of jurisdiction. PAB Procedures state: "Any complaint received by the UCDPD will be shared with the Office of Compliance for review and processing within two (2) business days. Any complaint received by the Office of Compliance will be shared with the Chief of Police, also within two (2) business days."

⁹ In addition to its recommendations with respect to the investigator's findings, the PAB may also recommend a wide spectrum of actions to the Chief of Police, including, for example, modifying policies or recommending training. A complete record of the PAB's recommendations is included in the full text of the PAB annual report and in the [PAB case database](#).

Police Accountability Board Inquiries, July 1, 2023 – June 30, 2024						
Case Number, Date Filed, Location	Filing Method	Demographic Information from Reporting Party [*]	Allegations	Case Status	Outcome ^{10, 11}	Police Chief's Response to PAB Findings
• 23-136 • 11/30/23 • UC Davis	Email to pab@ucdavis.edu	• Campus affiliation: Not provided • Age: Not provided • Gender: Not provided • Race/ethnicity: Not provided	Complaint related to a non-UCDPD UC Davis employee	Closed	Dismissed: Concerns did not allege UCDPD sworn officer misconduct or policy violation. The PAB responded to the reporting party that the appropriate campus units were aware of the incident.	N/A
• 23-137 • 12/17/23 • UC Davis Health	Phone call to Office of Compliance and Policy	• Campus affiliation: Not provided • Age: Not provided • Gender: Not provided • Race/ethnicity: Not provided	Complaint related to UC Davis Medical Center staff	Closed	Insufficient information: The PAB contacted the reporting party and never received a response	N/A
• 24-138 • 1/29/24 • Location not provided	PAB online complaint form & PAB online feedback form	• Campus affiliation: Not provided • Age: Not provided • Gender: Not provided • Race/ethnicity: Not provided	Complaint against individuals not affiliated with UCDPD or UC Davis	Closed	Dismissed: Concerns did not allege UCDPD sworn officer misconduct or policy violation. The PAB responded to the reporting party with information about the PAB's jurisdiction.	N/A
• 24-139 • 2/9/24 • UC Davis	Email to pab@ucdavis.edu	• Campus affiliation: Staff • Age: Not provided • Gender: Not provided • Race/ethnicity: Not provided	Inquiry related to encampment on campus	Closed	Dismissed and referred: Concerns did not allege UCDPD sworn officer misconduct or policy violation	N/A

^{*} Demographics of all reporting parties were provided voluntarily and were not known to the PAB at any point during case review unless they were relevant to the allegations (e.g., in a discrimination case).

¹⁰ Per its Procedures, the PAB shares all inquiries it receives with the UC Davis Police Department. This includes inquiries that are dismissed for any of the following reasons: the reporting party did not allege UCDPD misconduct or policy violation, the reporting party declines investigation, insufficient information, or lack of jurisdiction. PAB Procedures state: "Any complaint received by the UCDPD will be shared with the Office of Compliance for review and processing within two (2) business days. Any complaint received by the Office of Compliance will be shared with the Chief of Police, also within two (2) business days."

¹¹ In addition to its recommendations with respect to the investigator's findings, the PAB may also recommend a wide spectrum of actions to the Chief of Police, including, for example, modifying policies or recommending training. A complete record of the PAB's recommendations is included in the full text of the PAB annual report and in the [PAB case database](#).

Police Accountability Board Inquiries, July 1, 2023 – June 30, 2024						
Case Number, Date Filed, Location	Filing Method	Demographic Information from Reporting Party [*]	Allegations	Case Status	Outcome ^{12, 13}	Police Chief's Response to PAB Findings
• 24-140 • 2/22/24 • UC Davis	Email to pab@ucdavis.edu	• Campus affiliation: Student • Age: Not provided • Gender: Not provided • Race/ethnicity: Not provided	Inquiry related to light fixture on campus	Closed	Dismissed and referred: Concerns did not allege UCDPD sworn officer misconduct or policy violation	N/A
• 24-141 • 2/26/24 • UC Davis Health	PAB online complaint form	• Campus affiliation: Not provided • Age: 64 • Gender: Female • Race/ethnicity: Caucasian	Complaint related to incident involving a UC Davis employee not affiliated with UCDPD	Closed	Dismissed and referred: Concerns did not allege UCDPD sworn officer misconduct or policy violation	N/A
• 24-142 • 3/4/24 • UC Davis	Fax to Campus Counsel	• Campus affiliation: Community member • Age: Not provided • Gender: Not provided • Race/ethnicity: Not provided	Complaint related to police response that also included allegations related to other individuals not affiliated with UCDPD	Closed	Insufficient information: The PAB contacted the reporting party and never received a response	N/A
• 24-143 • 3/13/24 • UC Davis	PAB online complaint form	• Campus affiliation: Staff • Age: 59 • Gender: Male • Race/ethnicity: Fiji Indian	Reckless driving by UCDPD vehicle	Closed	Formal investigation: Investigation completed 6/17/24, PAB review 6/26/24 PAB's findings ¹⁴ : 1. Reckless driving count 1: Sustained 2. Reckless driving count 2: Sustained	All findings accepted

^{*} Demographics of all reporting parties were provided voluntarily and were not known to the PAB at any point during case review unless they were relevant to the allegations (e.g., in a discrimination case).

¹² Per its Procedures, the PAB shares all inquiries it receives with the UC Davis Police Department. This includes inquiries that are dismissed for any of the following reasons: the reporting party did not allege UCDPD misconduct or policy violation, the reporting party declines investigation, insufficient information, or lack of jurisdiction. PAB Procedures state: "Any complaint received by the UCDPD will be shared with the Office of Compliance for review and processing within two (2) business days. Any complaint received by the Office of Compliance will be shared with the Chief of Police, also within two (2) business days."

¹³ In addition to its recommendations with respect to the investigator's findings, the PAB may also recommend a wide spectrum of actions to the Chief of Police, including, for example, modifying policies or recommending training. A complete record of the PAB's recommendations is included in the full text of the PAB annual report and in the [PAB case database](#).

¹⁴ In addition to its findings, the PAB issued additional recommendations, which along with the Chief's responses are included in the full text of the PAB annual report and in the [PAB case database](#).

Police Accountability Board Inquiries, July 1, 2023 – June 30, 2024						
Case Number, Date Filed, Location	Filing Method	Demographic Information from Reporting Party*	Allegations	Case Status	Outcome ^{15, 16}	Police Chief's Response to PAB Findings
• 24-144 • 3/20/24 • UC Davis	PAB online feedback form	• Campus affiliation: Not provided • Age: Not provided • Gender: Not provided • Race/ethnicity: Not provided	Inquiry related to notification of on-campus incident via Clery timely warning	Closed	Dismissed: The PAB communicated with the reporting party that no Clery timely warning was issued because UCDPD determined that the subject in that matter was known to the victim, and that there was not an ongoing threat to the campus community at large	N/A
• 24-145 • 3/25/24 • UC Davis	Phone call to Office of Compliance and Policy	• Campus affiliation: Staff • Age: Not provided • Gender: Not provided • Race/ethnicity: Not provided	Improper citation	Closed	Dismissed and referred: Concerns did not allege UCDPD sworn officer misconduct or policy violation	N/A
• 24-146 • 4/17/24 • UC Davis	EthicsPoint online form	• Campus affiliation: Community member • Age: Not provided • Gender: Not provided • Race/ethnicity: Not provided	Reckless driving by UCDPD vehicle	Closed	Insufficient information: The PAB contacted the reporting party and never received a response	N/A
• 24-147 • 4/29/24 • Location not provided	Email to pab@ucdavis.edu	• Campus affiliation: Not provided • Age: Not provided • Gender: Not provided • Race/ethnicity: Not provided	Complaint related to an individual possibly employed by UC Davis who is not affiliated with UCDPD	Closed	Dismissed and referred: Concerns did not allege UCDPD sworn officer misconduct or policy violation	N/A

* Demographics of all reporting parties were provided voluntarily and were not known to the PAB at any point during case review unless they were relevant to the allegations (e.g., in a discrimination case).

¹⁵ Per its Procedures, the PAB shares all inquiries it receives with the UC Davis Police Department. This includes inquiries that are dismissed for any of the following reasons: the reporting party did not allege UCDPD misconduct or policy violation, the reporting party declines investigation, insufficient information, or lack of jurisdiction. PAB Procedures state: "Any complaint received by the UCDPD will be shared with the Office of Compliance for review and processing within two (2) business days. Any complaint received by the Office of Compliance will be shared with the Chief of Police, also within two (2) business days."

¹⁶ In addition to its recommendations with respect to the investigator's findings, the PAB may also recommend a wide spectrum of actions to the Chief of Police, including, for example, modifying policies or recommending training. A complete record of the PAB's additional recommendations is included in the full text of the PAB annual report and through the [PAB case database](#).

Police Accountability Board Inquiries, July 1, 2023 – June 30, 2024						
Case Number, Date Filed, Location	Filing Method	Demographic Information from Reporting Party*	Allegations	Case Status	Outcome ^{17,18}	Police Chief's Response to PAB Findings
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 24-148 • 5/17/24 • UC Davis 	Email to pab@ucdavis.edu	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Campus affiliation: Community member • Age: Not provided • Gender: Not provided • Race/ethnicity: Not provided 	Inquiry related to a non-UCDPD UC Davis employee	Closed	Dismissed and referred: Concerns did not allege UCDPD sworn officer misconduct or policy violation	N/A
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 24-149 • 5/20/24 • UC Davis 	Email to pab@ucdavis.edu	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Campus affiliation: Not provided • Age: Not provided • Gender: Not provided • Race/ethnicity: Not provided 	Inquiry related to maintenance of City of Davis public spaces	Closed	Dismissed and referred: Concerns did not allege UCDPD sworn officer misconduct or policy violation	N/A
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 24-150 • 5/24/24¹⁹ • UC Davis 	Referred by Police Chief	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Campus affiliation: Not provided • Age: Not provided • Gender: Not provided • Race/ethnicity: Not provided 	1. Display of a firearm 2. Negligent discharge of a firearm	Open	Pending PAB investigation and review	Pending PAB investigation and review

* Demographics of all reporting parties were provided voluntarily and were not known to the PAB at any point during case review unless they were relevant to the allegations (e.g., in a discrimination case).

¹⁷ Per its Procedures, the PAB shares all inquiries it receives with the UC Davis Police Department. This includes inquiries that are dismissed for any of the following reasons: the reporting party did not allege UCDPD misconduct or policy violation, the reporting party declines investigation, insufficient information, or lack of jurisdiction. PAB Procedures state: "Any complaint received by the UCDPD will be shared with the Office of Compliance for review and processing within two (2) business days. Any complaint received by the Office of Compliance will be shared with the Chief of Police, also within two (2) business days."

¹⁸ In addition to its recommendations with respect to the investigator's findings, the PAB may also recommend a wide spectrum of actions to the Chief of Police, including, for example, modifying policies or recommending training. A complete record of the PAB's additional recommendations is included in the full text of the PAB annual report and through the [PAB case database](#).

¹⁹ A [5/24/24 UC Davis News article "Campus Police Arrest 3 for Vandalism"](#) stated: "During the detention of one individual, an officer unintentionally discharged a service weapon. No one was injured. Consistent with the UC Davis Police Accountability Board procedures, Police Chief Joe Farrow is referring this matter to the independent Police Accountability Board for review." In line with PAB procedures, the Office of Compliance and Policy identified an external police practices expert to contract with as a co-investigator. Due to contracting issues outside of the PAB's, Compliance's and UCDPD's control, the charge date of this investigation was delayed until 7/1/24.

Police Accountability Board Inquiries, July 1, 2023 – June 30, 2024

Case Number, Date Filed, Location	Filing Method	Demographic Information from Reporting Party[*]	Allegations	Case Status	Outcome^{20, 21}	Police Chief's Response to PAB Findings
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 24-151 • 6/23/24 • UC Davis 	Phone call to Office of Compliance and Policy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Campus affiliation: Not provided • Age: Not provided • Gender: Not provided • Race/ethnicity: Not provided 	Complaint related to UCDPD dispatcher	Closed	Dismissed and referred: Concerns did not allege UCDPD sworn officer misconduct or policy violation	N/A
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 24-152 • 6/26/24²² • UC Davis 	PAB online complaint form, email to pab@ucdavis.edu	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Campus affiliation: Not provided • Age: Not provided • Gender: Not provided • Race/ethnicity: Not provided 	Complaint related to a non-UCDPD UC Davis employee	Closed	Dismissed and referred: Concerns did not allege UCDPD sworn officer misconduct or policy violation	N/A

^{*} Demographics of all reporting parties were provided voluntarily and were not known to the PAB at any point during case review unless they were relevant to the allegations (e.g., in a discrimination case).

²⁰ Per its Procedures, the PAB shares all inquiries it receives with the UC Davis Police Department. This includes inquiries that are dismissed for any of the following reasons: the reporting party did not allege UCDPD misconduct or policy violation, the reporting party declines investigation, insufficient information, or lack of jurisdiction. PAB Procedures state: "Any complaint received by the UCDPD will be shared with the Office of Compliance for review and processing within two (2) business days. Any complaint received by the Office of Compliance will be shared with the Chief of Police, also within two (2) business days."

²¹ In addition to its recommendations with respect to the investigator's findings, the PAB may also recommend a wide spectrum of actions to the Chief of Police, including, for example, modifying policies or recommending training. A complete record of the PAB's additional recommendations is included in the full text of the PAB annual report and through the [PAB case database](#).

²² Multiple complaints were submitted regarding this matter.